On the computation of maximal angles between cones

Giovanni Barbarino ¹ Nicolas Gillis ¹ David Sossa ²





26-27 September 2024

¹Université de Mons, Belgium

²Universidad de O'Higgins, Rancagua, Chile

Class of Computational Complexity

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$$

P,Q closed convex cones finitely generated

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$$

P, Q closed convex cones finitely generated



Pareto Singular Values $\min u^{\top}Av$

 $\min_{\substack{u \ge 0, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \ge 0, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$



Pareto Singular Values

$$\min_{\substack{u \ge 0, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \ge 0, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$$

Conic Angles

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \ \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \ \|v\| = 1, }} u^{\top} v$$







 $\Xi(A) := \{ \text{stationary points of the o.p.} \}$

"Simple" Case: $A \ge 0$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

$$A \ge 0 \iff \Xi(A) = \{ \|B\| : B \trianglelefteq A \}$$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

The set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}_+$ for which all the submatrices have different norms, is dense, open and its complementary has measure zero on the space of nonnegative matrices

\implies A generic nonnegative matrix has **exponentially** many Pareto Singular Values

$$A \ge 0 \implies \min \Xi(A) = \min_{i,j} A_{i,j}$$

 $\Xi(A) := \{ \text{stationary points of the o.p.} \}$

"Simple" Case: $A \ge 0$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

$$A \ge 0 \iff \Xi(A) = \{ \|B\| : B \trianglelefteq A \}$$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

The set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}_+$ for which all the submatrices have different norms, is dense, open and its complementary has measure zero on the space of nonnegative matrices

\implies A generic nonnegative matrix has **exponentially** many Pareto Singular Values

$$A \ge 0 \implies \min \Xi(A) = \min_{i,j} A_{i,j}$$

 $\Xi(A) := \{ \text{stationary points of the o.p.} \}$

"Simple" Case: $A \ge 0$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

$$A \ge 0 \iff \Xi(A) = \{ \|B\| : B \trianglelefteq A \}$$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

The set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}_+$ for which all the submatrices have different norms, is dense, open and its complementary has measure zero on the space of nonnegative matrices

 \implies A generic nonnegative matrix has exponentially many Pareto Singular Values

$$A \ge 0 \implies \min \Xi(A) = \min_{i,j} A_{i,j}$$

 $\Xi(A) := \{ \text{stationary points of the o.p.} \}$

"Simple" Case: $A \ge 0$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

$$A \ge 0 \iff \Xi(A) = \{ \|B\| : B \trianglelefteq A \}$$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

The set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}_+$ for which all the submatrices have different norms, is dense, open and its complementary has measure zero on the space of nonnegative matrices

 \implies A generic nonnegative matrix has **exponentially** many Pareto Singular Values

$$A \ge 0 \implies \min \Xi(A) = \min_{i,j} A_{i,j}$$

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^\top v \qquad P, Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ non trivial polyhedral cones}$

"Simple" Case:

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1, }} u^\top v \ge 0 \implies u, v \text{ are vertices of } P, Q$

If one of u, v in the antipodal pair is a vertex then the problem is **Polynomial** in n and the number of generators of P, Q

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

Let (u, v) be a stationary point and let $u \in int(F_u)$, $v \in int(F_v)$ where F_u , F_v are facets of P, Q. If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ and $v \neq \pm u$, then (u, v) is a saddle point

Corollary (B., G., S. 2024)

If (u, v) is a local minimum in dimension $n \leq 3$, then at least one among u, v is a vertex

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top}v \qquad P, Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ non trivial polyhedral cones}$

"Simple" Case:

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1, }} u^{\top} v \ge 0 \implies u, v \text{ are vertices of } P, Q$

If one of u, v in the antipodal pair is a vertex then the problem is **Polynomial** in n and the number of generators of P, Q

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

Let (u, v) be a stationary point and let $u \in int(F_u)$, $v \in int(F_v)$ where F_u , F_v are facets of P, Q. If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ and $v \neq \pm u$, then (u, v) is a saddle point

Corollary (B., G., S. 2024)

If (u, v) is a local minimum in dimension $n \leq 3$, then at least one among u, v is a vertex

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^\top v \qquad P, Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ non trivial polyhedral cones}$

"Simple" Case:

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1, }} u^\top v \ge 0 \implies u, v \text{ are vertices of } P, Q$$

If one of u, v in the antipodal pair is a vertex then the problem is **Polynomial** in n and the number of generators of P, Q

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

Let (u, v) be a stationary point and let $u \in int(F_u)$, $v \in int(F_v)$ where F_u , F_v are facets of P, Q. If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ and $v \neq \pm u$, then (u, v) is a saddle point

Corollary (B., G., S. 2024)

If (u, v) is a local minimum in dimension $n \leq 3$, then at least one among u, v is a vertex

Conic Angles

- $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then (u, v) is a saddle point
- (u, v) local minimum, $n \leq 3$, then u or v is a vertex

Idea of proof:

- If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then $0
 eq z \in Span(F_u) \cap Span(F_v)$
- The local minima of the restriction to Span(u, v, z) are on the border of F_u or F_v

Counterexample for $n \ge 4$:

$$P = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad Q = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\dim(F_u) = \dim(F_v) = 2 \qquad u^\top v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 0$$

(u, v) is an antipodal pair in the interior part of P and Q

Conic Angles

- $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then (u, v) is a saddle point
- (u, v) local minimum, $n \leq 3$, then u or v is a vertex

Idea of proof:

- If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then $0 \neq z \in Span(F_u) \cap Span(F_v)$
- The local minima of the restriction to Span(u, v, z) are on the border of F_u or F_v

Counterexample for $n \ge 4$:

$$P = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad Q = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\dim(F_u) = \dim(F_v) = 2 \qquad u^{\top}v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 0$$

(u,v) is an antipodal pair in the interior part of P and Q

Conic Angles

- $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then (u, v) is a saddle point
- (u, v) local minimum, $n \leq 3$, then u or v is a vertex

Idea of proof:

- If $\dim(F_u) + \dim(F_v) > n$ then $0 \neq z \in Span(F_u) \cap Span(F_v)$
- The local minima of the restriction to Span(u, v, z) are on the border of F_u or F_v

Counterexample for $n \ge 4$:

$$P = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad Q = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \qquad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\dim(F_u) = \dim(F_v) = 2 \qquad u^\top v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 0$$

(u, v) is an antipodal pair in the interior part of P and Q





Lemma (B., G., S. 2024)

Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of spectral norm 1 and $m \ge n$ can be decomposed as $A = U^T V$ where $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times n}$ are matrices with orthonormal columns

Proof: Let

$$A = U^T V$$
 $U = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m}$ $V := \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m}$

Given the SVD $A = W\Sigma Z^{\top}$ let $C = (I - \Sigma^{\top}\Sigma)^{1/2}Z^{\top}$ so that

$$V^{\top}V = A^{\top}A + Z(I - \Sigma^{\top}\Sigma)Z^{\top} = ZZ^{\top} = I$$

i.e. all columns of V are orthogonal to each other and with unitary norm

Notice:

The columns of U are a subset of the canonical basis

Lemma (B., G., S. 2024)

Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of spectral norm 1 and $m \ge n$ can be decomposed as $A = U^T V$ where $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times n}$ are matrices with orthonormal columns

Proof: Let

$$A = U^{T}V \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m} \qquad V := \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m}$$

Given the SVD $A = W \Sigma Z^{\top}$ let $C = (I - \Sigma^{\top} \Sigma)^{1/2} Z^{\top}$ so that

$$V^{\top}V = A^{\top}A + Z(I - \Sigma^{\top}\Sigma)Z^{\top} = ZZ^{\top} = I$$

i.e. all columns of V are orthogonal to each other and with unitary norm

Notice:

The columns of U are a subset of the canonical basis

Lemma (B., G., S. 2024)

Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of spectral norm 1 and $m \ge n$ can be decomposed as $A = U^T V$ where $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times n}$ are matrices with orthonormal columns

Proof: Let

$$A = U^{T}V \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m} \qquad V := \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times m}$$

Given the SVD $A = W \Sigma Z^\top$ let $C = (I - \Sigma^\top \Sigma)^{1/2} Z^\top$ so that

$$V^{\top}V = A^{\top}A + Z(I - \Sigma^{\top}\Sigma)Z^{\top} = ZZ^{\top} = I$$

i.e. all columns of V are orthogonal to each other and with unitary norm

Notice:

The columns of U are a subset of the canonical basis

 $\min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : ||u|| = ||v|| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ $\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : ||Gx|| = ||Ry|| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$ $A \|\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : ||Gx|| = ||Ry|| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$ $A \|\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : ||UGx|| = ||VRy|| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$ $|A| \min_{x,y} \widetilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{v} : ||\widetilde{u}|| = ||\widetilde{v}|| = 1 \quad \widetilde{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \widetilde{v} \in O' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles

$$\begin{split} \min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : & \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ |\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ |\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ |\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} \overline{U}^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ |\min_{x,y} \overline{u}^{\top} \overline{v} : & \|\overline{u}\| = \|\overline{v}\| = 1 \quad \overline{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \overline{v} \in Q' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \end{split}$$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles

$$\begin{split} \min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : & \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} \widetilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{v} : & \|\widetilde{u}\| = \|\widetilde{v}\| = 1 \quad \widetilde{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \widetilde{v} \in Q' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \end{split}$$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles

$$\min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{t}$$
$$\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{x,y} \widetilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{v} : \|\widetilde{u}\| = \|\widetilde{v}\| = 1 \qquad \widetilde{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \widetilde{v} \in Q' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles

$$\min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
$$\min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0$$
$$\|A\| \min_{\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}} \widetilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{v} : \|\widetilde{u}\| = \|\widetilde{v}\| = 1 \quad \widetilde{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \widetilde{v} \in Q' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles

$$\begin{split} \min_{u,v} u^{\top} Av : & \|u\| = \|v\| = 1 \qquad u \in P = \langle G \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad v \in Q = \langle R \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} A(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|Gx\| = \|Ry\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} (Gx)^{\top} U^{\top} V(Ry) : & \|UGx\| = \|VRy\| = 1 \qquad x, y \ge 0 \\ \|A\| \min_{x,y} \widetilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{v} : & \|\widetilde{u}\| = \|\widetilde{v}\| = 1 \quad \widetilde{u} \in P' = \langle UG \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \widetilde{v} \in Q' = \langle VR \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \end{split}$$

From Conical SV to Conic Angles





Pareto Singular Values $\min_{\substack{u \ge 0, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \ge 0, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$

 $\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v \qquad P, Q \quad \text{closed convex cones}$ finitely generated



Conic Angles

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} v$$





Pareto Singular Values $\min_{\substack{u \ge 0, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \ge 0, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$



P, Q closed convex cones finitely generated



Conic Angles

$$\min_{\substack{u \in P, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \in Q, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} v$$

Reduction from Maximum Edge Biclique to Minimal Pareto Singular Value

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

Let (σ_0, u, v) be the optimal solution of

$$\sigma_0 = \min_{u,v \ge 0} u^\top A v$$
 : $||u|| = ||v|| = 1$

If A has at least one negative entry then $(x, y) = \sqrt{-\sigma_0}(u, v)$ is optimal for

$$\min_{x,y\geq 0} \| - A - xy^\top \|_F^2$$

This shows that the Minimal Pareto Singular Value is **at least as hard** as the Nonnegative Rank 1 Approximation problem

Theorem (G., Glineur 2013)

Let $B \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ be the bi-adjacency matrix of a bipartite graph (N_1, N_2, E) where $B_{i,j} = 1$ iff node *i* in N_1 and node *j* in N_2 are connected and $d \ge \max\{m, n\}$.

$$\min_{x,y\geq 0} \|B - d(1-B) - xy^{\top}\|_{F}^{2}$$

is solved by binary vectors x, y that identify the fully connected subsets $S_1 \subseteq N_1$ and $S_2 \subseteq N_2$ corrisponding to the Maximum Edge Biclique, i.e they maximise $|S_1| \cdot |S_2|$

Theorem (Seeger, S. 2023)

Let (σ_0, u, v) be the optimal solution of

$$\sigma_0 = \min_{u,v \ge 0} u^\top A v$$
 : $||u|| = ||v|| = 1$

If A has at least one negative entry then $(x, y) = \sqrt{-\sigma_0}(u, v)$ is optimal for

$$\min_{x,y\geq 0} \| - A - xy^\top \|_F^2$$

This shows that the Minimal Pareto Singular Value is **at least as hard** as the Nonnegative Rank 1 Approximation problem

Theorem (G., Glineur 2013)

Let $B \in \{0,1\}^{m \times n}$ be the bi-adjacency matrix of a bipartite graph (N_1, N_2, E) where $B_{i,j} = 1$ iff node *i* in N_1 and node *j* in N_2 are connected and $d \ge \max\{m, n\}$.

$$\min_{x,y\geq 0}\|B-d(1-B)-xy^{\top}\|_F^2$$

is solved by binary vectors x, y that identify the fully connected subsets $S_1 \subseteq N_1$ and $S_2 \subseteq N_2$ corrisponding to the Maximum Edge Biclique, i.e they maximise $|S_1| \cdot |S_2|$

• $\min_{x,y\geq 0} ||B - d(1 - B) - xy^\top||_F^2$ identifies the Maximum Edge Biclique lea of Proof:

All the Maximal Bicliques (S_1, S_2) are local minima of $||B - d(1 - B) - xy^\top||_F^2$ where $x = \chi(S_1)$, $y = \chi(S_2)$ because any extension of $S_1 \times S_2$ gets a -d and

$$(-d-\epsilon)^2 = d^2 + 2d\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$

with the error going up by $d\epsilon$ that is way more then what we gain by removing ϵ from all the ones in a row/column

As a consequence xy^{\top} has zeros in correspondence of the -d of M and the rest nonzero entries equal to 1, meaning that local minima x, y are indicator for the maximal bicliques

• $\min_{x,y\geq 0} \|B - d(1-B) - xy^{\top}\|_{F}^{2}$ identifies the Maximum Edge Biclique Idea of Proof:

$$M = B - d(1 - B) = \begin{pmatrix} -d & 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & -d & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

All the Maximal Bicliques (S_1, S_2) are local minima of $||B - d(1 - B) - xy^\top||_F^2$ where $x = \chi(S_1)$, $y = \chi(S_2)$ because any extension of $S_1 \times S_2$ gets a -d and

$$(-d-\epsilon)^2 = d^2 + 2d\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$

with the error going up by $d\epsilon$ that is way more then what we gain by removing ϵ from all the ones in a row/column

As a consequence xy^{\perp} has zeros in correspondence of the -d of M and the rest nonzero entries equal to 1, meaning that local minima x, y are indicator for the maximal bicliques

• $\min_{x,y\geq 0} \|B - d(1-B) - xy^{\top}\|_{F}^{2}$ identifies the Maximum Edge Biclique Idea of Proof:

$$M = B - d(1 - B) = \begin{pmatrix} -d & 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & -d & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

All the Maximal Bicliques (S_1, S_2) are local minima of $||B - d(1 - B) - xy^\top||_F^2$ where $x = \chi(S_1)$, $y = \chi(S_2)$ because any extension of $S_1 \times S_2$ gets a -d and

$$(-d-\epsilon)^2 = d^2 + 2d\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$

with the error going up by $d\epsilon$ that is way more then what we gain by removing ϵ from all the ones in a row/column

As a consequence xy^{+} has zeros in correspondence of the -d of M and the rest nonzero entries equal to 1, meaning that local minima x, y are indicator for the maximal bicliques • $\min_{x,y\geq 0} \|B - d(1-B) - xy^{\top}\|_{F}^{2}$ identifies the Maximum Edge Biclique Idea of Proof:

$$M = B - d(1 - B) = \begin{pmatrix} -d & 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -d & 1 & 1 & 1 & -d \\ 1 & -d & 1 & -d & -d & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

All the Maximal Bicliques (S_1, S_2) are local minima of $||B - d(1 - B) - xy^\top||_F^2$ where $x = \chi(S_1)$, $y = \chi(S_2)$ because any extension of $S_1 \times S_2$ gets a -d and

$$(-d-\epsilon)^2 = d^2 + 2d\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$

with the error going up by $d\epsilon$ that is way more then what we gain by removing ϵ from all the ones in a row/column

As a consequence xy^{\top} has zeros in correspondence of the -d of M and the rest nonzero entries equal to 1, meaning that local minima x, y are indicator for the maximal bicliques

The Maximal Edge Biclique problem is NP-hard

Maximal Edge Biclique

Maximum Number of Edges in a Bipartite Connected Subgraph

NP-hard

The Maximal Edge Biclique problem is NP-hard

Maximal Edge Biclique

Maximum Number of Edges in a Bipartite Connected Subgraph





Nonnegative Rank 1

 $\min_{x,y\geq 0}\|M-xy^\top\|$

NP-hard

The Maximal Edge Biclique problem is NP-hard

Maximal Edge Biclique

Maximum Number of Edges in a Bipartite Connected Subgraph

NP-hard

Pareto Singular Values

 $\min_{\substack{u \ge 0, \|u\| = 1, \\ v \ge 0, \|v\| = 1,}} u^{\top} A v$

NP-hard



 $\min_{x,y\geq 0}\|M-xy^\top\|$

NP-hard

The Maximal Edge Biclique problem is NP-hard



Recall:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|u\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|v\| = 1 \end{array}} & \langle u, Av \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|Uu\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|Vv\| = 1 \end{array}} & \langle Uu, Vv \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{x \in P, \ \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \ \|y\| = 1 \end{array}} & \langle x, y \rangle, \\ \end{array}$$
with $U^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between convex closed cones problem

$$\min_{\substack{x \in P, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle$$

with P being generated by a subset of the canonical basis is NP-hard

Conjecture (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between the positive orthant and another convex closed cone

$$\min_{\substack{x \ge 0, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle = -\max_{y \in Q, \|y\| = 1} \|y^-\|$$

is NP-hard

Recall:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|u\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|v\| = 1}} & \langle u, Av \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|Uu\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|Vv\| = 1}} & \langle Uu, Vv \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{x \in P, \ \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \ \|y\| = 1}} & \langle x, y \rangle, \end{array}$$
with $U^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between convex closed cones problem

$$\min_{\substack{x \in P, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle$$

with P being generated by a subset of the canonical basis is NP-hard

Conjecture (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between the positive orthant and another convex closed cone

$$\min_{\substack{x \ge 0, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle = -\max_{y \in Q, \|y\| = 1} \|y^-\|$$

is NP-hard

Recall:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|u\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|v\| = 1}} & \langle u, Av \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{u \geq 0, \ \|Uu\| = 1, \\ v \geq 0, \ \|Vv\| = 1}} & \langle Uu, Vv \rangle = \|A\| & \min_{\substack{x \in P, \ \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \ \|y\| = 1}} & \langle x, y \rangle, \end{array}$$
with $U^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between convex closed cones problem

$$\min_{\substack{x \in P, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle$$

with P being generated by a subset of the canonical basis is NP-hard

Conjecture (B., G., S. 2024)

The maximum angle between the positive orthant and another convex closed cone

$$\min_{\substack{x \ge 0, \|x\| = 1, \\ y \in Q, \|y\| = 1}} \langle x, y \rangle = -\max_{y \in Q, \|y\| = 1} \|y^-\|$$

is NP-hard

Quadratic Programming with Gurobi





minimize $x^TQx + c^Tx + alpha$

subject to Ax = b (linear constraints) $\ell \le x \le u$ (bound constraints) some x_j integral (integrality constraints) $x^T Qc x + q^T x \le beta$ (quadratic constraints) some x_i in SOS (special ordered set constraints) min, max, abs, or, ... (general constraints) Interfaces with C, C++, Python, Java, Matlab, .NET, R

- × Proprietary, not Open Source
- ✓ Free for Academic use
 - Slower than approximating iterative solvers
- ✓ Solve the problem exactly even in the indefinite case

Uses McCormick Relaxation:

$$\min_{(u,v)\in K} \langle u, Av \rangle = \min_{(u,v)\in K} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u_i v_j = \min_{(u,v)\in K, u_i v_j = w_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j} \ge \min_{(u_i,v_j,w_{i,j})\in K_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j}$$

where $K_{i,j} = \text{Conv}((u_i, v_j, w_{i,j}) : w_{i,j} = u_i v_j, \underline{u}_i \le u_i \le \overline{u}_i, \underline{v}_j \le v_j \le \overline{v}_j)$ is a convex
polyhedron with at most 4 faces in \mathbb{R}^3 and if $(u_i^*, v_j^*, w_{i,j}^*)$ is the relaxed solution,
 $\sum A_{i,j} u_i^* v_i^* \ge \min_{i,j} \langle u, Av \rangle \ge \sum A_{i,j} w_{i,j}^* = \text{Err} < \sum |A_{i,j}| (\overline{u}_i - u_i) (\overline{v}_i - v_i)$



minimize $x^TQx + c^Tx + alpha$

subject to Ax = b (linear constraints) $\ell \le x \le u$ (bound constraints) some x_j integral (integrality constraints) $x^T Qc x + q^T x \le beta$ (quadratic constraints) some x_i in SOS (special ordered set constraints) min, max, abs, or, ... (general constraints) ✓ Interfaces with C, C++, Python, Java, Matlab, .NET, R

- × Proprietary, not Open Source
- ✓ Free for Academic use
- × Slower than approximating iterative solvers
- ✓ Solve the problem exactly even in the indefinite case

Uses McCormick Relaxation:

$$\min_{(u,v)\in K} \langle u, Av \rangle = \min_{(u,v)\in K} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u_i v_j = \min_{(u,v)\in K, u_i v_j = w_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j} \ge \min_{(u_i,v_j,w_{i,j})\in K_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j}$$

where $K_{i,j} = \text{Conv}((u_i, v_j, w_{i,j}) : w_{i,j} = u_i v_j, \underline{u}_i \le u_i \le \overline{u}_i, \underline{v}_j \le v_j \le \overline{v}_j)$ is a convex
polyhedron with at most 4 faces in \mathbb{R}^3 and if $(u_i^*, v_j^*, w_{i,j}^*)$ is the relaxed solution,
 $\sum A_{i,i} u_i^* v_i^* \ge \min_{i,j} \langle u, Av \rangle \ge \sum A_{i,i} w_i^*$ Err $< \sum |A_{i,i}| (\overline{u}_i - u_i) (\overline{v}_i - v_i)$



minimize $x^TQx + c^Tx + alpha$

subject to Ax = b (linear constraints) $\ell \le x \le u$ (bound constraints) some x_j integral (integrality constraints) $x^T Qc x + q^T x \le beta$ (quadratic constraints) some x_i in SOS (special ordered set constraints) min, max, abs, or, ... (general constraints) ✓ Interfaces with C, C++, Python, Java, Matlab, .NET, R

- × Proprietary, not Open Source
- ✓ Free for Academic use
- × Slower than approximating iterative solvers
- ✓ Solve the problem exactly even in the indefinite case

Uses McCormick Relaxation:

$$\min_{(u,v)\in K} \langle u, Av \rangle = \min_{(u,v)\in K} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u_i v_j = \min_{(u,v)\in K, u_i v_j = w_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j} \ge \min_{(u,v_j,w_{i,j})\in K_{i,j}} \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j}$$

where $K_{i,j} = \operatorname{Conv}((u_i, v_j, w_{i,j}) : w_{i,j} = u_i v_j, \underline{u_i} \le u_i \le \overline{u_i}, \underline{v_j} \le v_j \le \overline{v_j})$ is a convex polyhedron with at most 4 faces in \mathbb{R}^3 and if $(u_i^*, v_j^*, w_{i,j}^*)$ is the relaxed solution,

$$\sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u_i^* v_j^* \ge \min_{(u,v) \in K} \langle u, Av \rangle \ge \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} w_{i,j}^* \qquad \text{Err} \le \sum_{i,j} |A_{i,j}| (\overline{u_i} - \underline{u_i}) (\overline{v_j} - \underline{v_j})$$

An Example: Schur Cone

Gurobi easily solves some angles problems, e.g., $P = \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $Q = \langle H \rangle$, or $P = Q = \langle H \rangle$ where H generates the Schur cone

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n-1} \qquad \langle H \rangle \subseteq e^{\perp}$$

In the first case, Gurobi returns

$$y = e_n \in P$$
 $x = (a a \dots a b) \in Q$ $a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$ $b = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} = x^{\top}y$

that can be proved being the maximum angle as

$$\min_{\substack{x \in Q, y \in P \\ \|x\| = \|y\| = 1}} x^\top y \ge \min_{\substack{x \in e^\perp, y \ge 0 \\ \|x\| = \|y\| = 1}} x^\top y = \min_{\substack{y \ge 0, \|y\| = 1}} -\|P_{e^\perp}(y)\| = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}}$$

An Example: Schur Cone

Gurobi easily solves some angles problems, e.g., $P = \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $Q = \langle H \rangle$, or $P = Q = \langle H \rangle$ where H generates the Schur cone

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n - 1} \qquad \langle H \rangle \subseteq e^{\perp}$$

In the first case, Gurobi returns

$$y = e_n \in P$$
 $x = (a a \dots a b) \in Q$ $a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$ $b = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} = x^\top y$

that can be proved being the maximum angle as

$$\min_{\substack{x \in Q, y \in P \\ \|x\| = \|y\| = 1}} x^\top y \ge \min_{\substack{x \in e^\perp, y \ge 0 \\ \|x\| = \|y\| = 1}} x^\top y = \min_{\substack{y \ge 0, \|y\| = 1 \\ y \ge 0, \|y\| = 1}} - \|P_{e^\perp}(y)\| = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}}$$

Maximum Angle between PSD and Nonnegative Symmetric Matrices

Given the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = Tr(A^{\top}B)$ on the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices S^n an open question is the maximum angle between the cone of PSD matrices \mathcal{P}^n and the cone of nonnegative symmetric matrices \mathcal{N}^n for $n \ge 5$

$$\gamma_n := \min_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, B \in \mathcal{N}^n \\ \|A\|_F = \|B\|_F = 1}} \langle A, B \rangle = -\max_{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, \|A\|_F = 1} \|A^-\|_F = -\frac{1}{2} \max_{B \in \mathcal{N}^n, \|B\|_F = 1} \|B - \sqrt{B^2}\|_F$$

It is known that

$$n = 2, 3, 4 \implies \gamma_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \cos\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi\right) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \downarrow -1 = \cos(\pi)$$

This is a lower bound on the maximum angle in the cone of copositive matrices

$$\mathcal{C}^n := \{ A \in \mathcal{S}^n : x^\top A x \ge 0 \ \forall x \ge 0 \}$$

All the algorithms to compute γ_n are iteratively converging to a critical angle, i.e. a stationary point of the optimization problem

For $n \ge 5$ we only have lower bounds on the minimum angle

Maximum Angle between PSD and Nonnegative Symmetric Matrices

Given the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = Tr(A^{\top}B)$ on the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices S^n an open question is the maximum angle between the cone of PSD matrices \mathcal{P}^n and the cone of nonnegative symmetric matrices \mathcal{N}^n for $n \ge 5$

$$\gamma_n := \min_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, B \in \mathcal{N}^n \\ \|A\|_F = \|B\|_F = 1}} \langle A, B \rangle = -\max_{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, \|A\|_F = 1} \|A^-\|_F = -\frac{1}{2} \max_{B \in \mathcal{N}^n, \|B\|_F = 1} \|B - \sqrt{B^2}\|_F$$

It is known that

$$n = 2, 3, 4 \implies \gamma_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \cos\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi\right) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \downarrow -1 = \cos(\pi)$$

This is a lower bound on the maximum angle in the cone of copositive matrices

$$\mathcal{C}^n := \{ A \in \mathcal{S}^n : x^\top A x \ge 0 \ \forall x \ge 0 \}$$

All the algorithms to compute γ_n are iteratively converging to a critical angle, i.e. a stationary point of the optimization problem

For $n \ge 5$ we only have lower bounds on the minimum angle

Maximum Angle between PSD and Nonnegative Symmetric Matrices

Given the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = Tr(A^{\top}B)$ on the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices S^n an open question is the maximum angle between the cone of PSD matrices \mathcal{P}^n and the cone of nonnegative symmetric matrices \mathcal{N}^n for $n \ge 5$

$$\gamma_n := \min_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, B \in \mathcal{N}^n \\ \|A\|_F = \|B\|_F = 1}} \langle A, B \rangle = -\max_{A \in \mathcal{P}^n, \|A\|_F = 1} \|A^-\|_F = -\frac{1}{2} \max_{B \in \mathcal{N}^n, \|B\|_F = 1} \|B - \sqrt{B^2}\|_F$$

It is known that

$$n = 2, 3, 4 \implies \gamma_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \cos\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi\right) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \downarrow -1 = \cos(\pi)$$

This is a lower bound on the maximum angle in the cone of copositive matrices

$$\mathcal{C}^n := \{ A \in \mathcal{S}^n : x^\top A x \ge 0 \ \forall x \ge 0 \}$$

All the algorithms to compute γ_n are iteratively converging to a critical angle, i.e. a stationary point of the optimization problem

For $n \ge 5$ we only have lower bounds on the minimum angle

Known Antipodal Couples:

$$n = 1; A_{1} = B_{1} = 1$$

$$n = 2; A_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 3, 4; A_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

n = 5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

Known Antipodal Couples:

 $n = 1: A_{1} = B_{1} = 1$ $n = 2: A_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $= 3, 4: A_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

n = 5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

Known Antipodal Couples:

$$n = 1; A_1 = B_1 = 1$$

$$n = 2; A_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 3, 4; A_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} B_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

n = 5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

Known Antipodal Couples:

$$n = 1: A_{1} = B_{1} = 1$$

$$n = 2: A_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n = 3, 4: A_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

n=5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

Known Antipodal Couples:

$$n = 1; A_1 = B_1 = 1$$

$$n = 2; A_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n = 3, 4; A_n = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} B_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

n = 5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

Known Antipodal Couples:

$$n = 1: A_{1} = B_{1} = 1$$

$$n = 2: A_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n = 3, 4: A_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

n = 5: (Best Known Stationary Point) F_5 is the Fourier matrix

$$A_{n} = F_{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_{5}^{H} \qquad B_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $\mathcal{SC}^n \cap \mathcal{P}^n$ and $\mathcal{SC}^n \cap \mathcal{N}^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto −Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, −C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a C ∈ SCⁿ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SCⁿ

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $SC^n \cap P^n$ and $SC^n \cap N^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto -Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a C ∈ SCⁿ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SCⁿ

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $SC^n \cap P^n$ and $SC^n \cap N^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto -Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a C ∈ SCⁿ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SCⁿ

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $SC^n \cap P^n$ and $SC^n \cap N^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto -Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a $C \in SC^n$ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SC^n

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $SC^n \cap P^n$ and $SC^n \cap N^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto -Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a $C \in SC^n$ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SC^n

The algebra of circulant real symmetric matrices \mathcal{SC}^n is the set of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = a_0 I_n + F_n \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j>0} 2a_j \cos(2\pi i j/n) \right)_{i=0:n-1} F_n^H$$

- Both $SC^n \cap P^n$ and $SC^n \cap N^n$ are finitely generated cones with $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ generators
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Pⁿ its projection C' onto -Nⁿ is still in SCⁿ, and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Nⁿ
- Given C ∈ SCⁿ ∩ Nⁿ its projection C' onto -Pⁿ is still in SCⁿ and the angle between C, -C' is the maximum angle between C and Pⁿ
- An alternating algorithm using projections to minimize γ_n starting from a $C \in SC^n$ will converge to a stationary point of the problem that is still in SC^n

If *n* is odd and n = 1 + 2m

$$\min_{\substack{A \in SC^n \cap \mathcal{P}^n, \|A\|_F = 1\\ B \in SC^n \cap \mathcal{N}^n, \|B\|_F = 1}} \langle A, B \rangle = \min_{\substack{x \ge 0, \|x\|_F = 1\\ y \ge 0, \|y\|_F = 1}} \langle x, My \rangle \qquad M = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \left\lfloor \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}ij\right) \right\rfloor_{i,j=1:m}$$

A similar reduction holds for n even

If *n* is odd and n = 1 + 2m

 $\min_{\substack{A \in S\mathcal{C}^n \cap \mathcal{P}^n, \|A\|_F = 1 \\ B \in S\mathcal{C}^n \cap \mathcal{N}^n, \|B\|_F = 1 \\ }} \langle A, B \rangle = \min_{\substack{x \ge 0, \|x\|_F = 1 \\ y \ge 0, \|y\|_F = 1 \\ }} \langle x, My \rangle$

$$M = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{n} i j \right) \right]_{i,j=1:m}$$

A similar reduction holds for n even

5	$0.7575~\pi$	$0.7575~\pi$	18	$0.7699~\pi$	$0.7670~\pi$	Left: Lower bounds on γ_n
6	$0.7575~\pi$	$0.7575~\pi$	19	$0.7703~\pi$	0.7681 π	, in the second s
7	$0.7575 \ \pi$	$0.7575~\pi$	20	$0.7719\ \pi$	0.7719 π	
8	0.7608 π	$0.7608 \ \pi$	21	$0.7719\ \pi$	$0.7719~\pi$	Right:
9	0.7608 π	$0.7608\ \pi$	22	$0.7719~\pi$	$0.7719~\pi$	- In black the exact angle
10	$0.7609 \ \pi$	$0.7608 \ \pi$	23	$0.7722 \ \pi$	$0.7719\ \pi$	$SC^n \cap \mathcal{P}^n / SC^n \cap \mathcal{N}^n$
11	$0.7627 \ \pi$	$0.7627 \ \pi$	24	$0.7735\ \pi$	$0.7730\ \pi$	
12	$0.7649 \ \pi$	$0.7649 \ \pi$	25	$0.7735\ \pi$	$0.7730~\pi$	- In blue if a previous angle
13	$0.7649\ \pi$	$0.7649\ \pi$	26	$0.7735~\pi$	$0.7730~\pi$	was bigger then the exact
14	$0.7659 \ \pi$	$0.7649\ \pi$	27	$0.7739\ \pi$	$0.7730\ \pi$	solution
15	0.7678π	$0.7649 \ \pi$	28	$0.7750~\pi$	$0.7730~\pi$	
16	$0.7699\ \pi$	$0.7670 \ \pi$	29	$0.7750~\pi$	$0.7741~\pi$	- In red IT It Is a lower
17	$0.7699~\pi$	$0.7670\ \pi$	30	$0.7757~\pi$	$0.7741~\pi$	bound

Thank You!

- Abdolali M., Barbarino G., and Gillis N. Dual simplex volume maximization for simplex-structured matrix factorization. *Arxiv*, 2024.
- Abdolali M. and Gillis N. Simplex-structured matrix factorization: Sparsity-based identifiability and provably correct algorithms. SIAM Journal on Mathematics of data Science, 3(2):593–623, 2021.
- Fu X., Ma W.K., Huang K., and Sidiropoulos N.D. Blind separation of quasi-stationry sources: exploiting convex geometry in covariance domain. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 63(9):2306–2320, 2015.
- Zu F. Hyperspectral unmixing: ground truth labeling, datasets, benchmark performances and survey. *Arxiv*, 2017.
- Lin C.H., Wu R., Ma W.K., Chi C.Y., and Wang Y. Maximum volume inscribed ellipsoid: A new simplex-structured matrix factorization framework via facet enumeration and convex optimization. *SIAM Journal* on Imaging Sciences, 11(2):1651–1679, 2018.